

The Medical Journal of Australia • 1914–2014 www.mja.com.au

#### Editor-in-Chief

Stephen Leeder, AO, MD, FRACP

#### **Deputy Editors**

Tatiana Janusic, MB BS, DPH, FRACGP Wendy Morgan, MB ChB, MPH(Hons), FRACGP Astika Kappagoda, MB BS, MPhil, PhD Robyn Godding, MB BS, MPhil, PhACMA Christine Gee, BSC(Med), MB BS(Hons) Diana McKay, FRANZCP, MHM, AFRACMA

Deputy Editor, Poetry and Fiction Leah Kaminsky, MB BS, BA

### Senior Assistant Editors

Elsina Meyer, BSc Katherine McLeod, BSc(Hons)

#### **Assistant Editors**

Christine Binskin, BSc Suzanne Habjan, BSc(Hons), PhD Olivia Wroth, BVSc Graeme Prince, BAppSc, BA(Hons), MA(Hons) Sonya Chervonsky, BA

### Scientific Proofreaders

Gita Sankaran, BSc, MIM, PhD Kathryn Tuckwell, MB BS, BA(Hons)

Librarian Jackie Holman, BAComm(Info)

MJA Journalist/Careers Editor Cate Swannell, BA

Editorial Administrator Kerrie Harding

Editorial Assistant Zane Colling

**Production** Glenn Carter, Peter Humphries

#### **Electronic Publishing**

Peter Hollo, BSc(Hons), BA, LMusA

Publishing Assistant Wade Clarke, BAComm

### CONTACT THE MJA

AMPCo House, 277 Clarence Street, Sydney, NSW 2000. ABN 20 000 005 854. Post: The MJA, Locked Bag 3030, Strawberry Hills, NSW 2012. Tel: (02) 9562 6666. Fax: (02) 9562 6699. mja@mja.com.au

INSTRUCTIONS TO AUTHORS: https://www.mja.com.au/journal/mja-instructions-authors

# ADVERTISING AND COMMERCIAL REPRINTS Sales and Marketing Manager David Kelly

Commercial Manager Delores D'Costa

Bus Dev & Senior Account Manager Mike Mata

Account Manager Sarah Lander Advertising Coordinator Susantika Susantika

Tel: (02) 9562 6621.

advertising@mja.com.au, reprints@mja.com.au
SUBSCRIPTIONS Jane Anderson

### Tel: (02) 9562 6617. subscriptions@mja.com.au

2014 Annual subscription (+ GST):

Individual: RRP A\$410.00 (new), A\$350.00 (renewal).

Institutions: on application.

The Medical Journal of Australia (MJA) is published on the 1st and 3rd Monday of each month by the Australasian Medical Publishing Company Proprietary Limited (AMPCo), a wholly owned subsidiary of the Australian Medical Association (AMA). The statements or opinions that are expressed in the MJA reflect the views of the authors and do not represent the

opinions or policies of the MIA or the AMA unless so stated. None of AMPCo, the AMA or any of its servants and agents will have any liability in any way arising from information or advice that is contained in the MIA. Although all accepted advertising material is expected to conform to ethical and legal standards, such acceptance does not imply endorsement by the MIA. The MIA is intended for medical professionals and is provided without warranty, express or implied. All literary matter in the MIA is covered by copyright, and must not be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system, or transmitted

not be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system, or transmitted in any form by electronic or mechanical means, photocopying, or recording, without written permission.

© AMPCo, 2014 - Printed by Webstar Australia.

CIRCULATIONS
AUDIT BOARD
30,074 circulation as at
30 September 2013 · ISSN: 0025-729X

Publishers Australia

# Highlights from our archives

# tobacco advertising

After three editors in its first 63 years, the MJA had four different editors between 1977 and 1983 when Dr Alister Brass took over. His editorial, published below, caused a stir, with one letter writer describing it as "probably the most contemptible piece of commentary ever to have disgraced the pages of a medical journal with pretensions to responsibility".



## Smoke gets in your eyes

There is not a child or adult in Australia who does not know that smoking is bad.

The rising chorus of warnings that has poured from every medical, health, government and educational source since the first official pronouncement on the matter in 1964 by the American Surgeon General has ensured that the news is now ubiquitous.

Yet for all the sound and fury, and the dire pronouncements of the experts, kids still start to smoke, and adults (including doctors) still enjoy their tobacco, bad conscience or not. How can this be?

First the role of advertising ... in maintaining people's interest in cigarettes is much overrated. So is the alleged wickedness of capitalist tobacco companies.

Rather, the call to abstain is opposed by deepseated propensities far more influential than a passing desire to ape an athlete or pop idol.

These are the basic human instincts (a) to be irresistibly attracted to the unknown or untried; (b) to grasp any remedy, even a potentially dangerous one like nicotine, to assuage the anxieties of the daily grind; and (c) to use the

sharing of tobacco as a means to easy, non-verbal communion.

The sociological subtext is clear: if you're lighting up, or actually smoking, then you are too busy to stab, shoot or otherwise attack the person you're smoking with.

Further, as the ABC's science expert, Robyn Williams, points out, the whole health movement, of which denouncing tobacco is just one facet, is a middle- and upper-class phenomenon, another fashion in the trend of "the fitness drives, the image of the slim jogger, the Balmain mueslieaters, the fibre-conscious-stool-watchers".

Reformers need to be very careful that they don't crush an individual's right (to be foolish, even) in the name of "higher truth". So why not simply keep the medical facts on smoking in the public eye, but cut out the self-righteous wowserism?

Life itself, as many have remarked, is a terminal illness.

Anyone for a smoke?

Alister Brass, Editor 14 April 1984 (edited extract)

### Smouldering on ...

To the Editor: This is not some sort of jolly after-dinner discussion you have joined: it is a very serious issue, with complexities far beyond the understanding shown by your offhand comments.

Please, read more about the issue; talk to some of the people who have fought with industry for years; think about the implications of your comments should your opinions prove to have been illfounded.

I believe that your position demands a more careful evaluation of volatile issues such as this before decorating the editorial pages with your otherwise lucid and entertaining writing style.

The point is that the smoking debate is not about entertainment, and to trivialise the arguments in the manner you have chosen is to give ammunition to the tobacco industry.

Charles Watson, MD Acting Director, Health Education Unit Public Health Department, Perth, WA 7 July 1984

doi: 10.5694/mja14.a0505