MJA from the Editor's desk

TESTING TIME

Last century, the renowned US physician and scientist, Lewis Thomas, observed, "Today, with the advances in medicine's various and complicated new technologies, the ward round at the foot of the bed, the drawing of blood samples for automated assessment of every known biochemical abnormality, the rolling of wheelchairs . . . down to the x-ray department, there is less time for thinking." Lewis further noted that medicine "no longer involves the laying on of the hands", but rather "the reading of machines".

Medicine's reliance on testing continues unabated. The annual expenditure by Medicare for both pathology and radiology tests exceeds \$2 billion and the annual growth for these services is a robust 5%.

Why so many tests? Apart from being definitive diagnostic tools in patient management, tests have other roles. There are "pressure tests" ordered to placate pressure from patients, family and peers; "routine tests" required by hospital protocols; "reassurance tests" to reduce the anxiety attending the uncertainty of practice; "fishing tests" to angle for remote diagnostic possibilities; "gamesmanship tests" to prevent upstaging by other doctors; and, finally, "lawyer's tests" as defence props in possible future medicolegal tussles. Ultimately, tests are easy to order, are readily available and, importantly, are paid by someone else.

But the compelling reason remains a lack of time. Time constraints, overwork and the pressure for immediate answers rule modern medicine. In this environment, it is easier to order tests than to conduct a rigorous history or physical examination, or, indeed, allow time to be the diagnostician.

The time for talking and the time for thinking is currently curtailed in consultations. Testing is now the surrogate for time.

Martin B Van Der Weyden

LETTERS

Evidence of human metapneumovirus in Australian children	
Michael D Nissen, David J Siebert, Ian M Mackay, Theo P Sloots, Stephen J Withers	188
Risk of death from methicillin-resistant <i>Staphylococcus aureus</i>	100
bacteraemia: a meta-analysis	
James C Hurley	188
Michael Whitby, Mary-Louise McLaws, Geoffrey Berry	189
PBS/RPBS cost implications of trends and guideline recommendation	ons
in the pharmacological management of hypertension	
Ben D Ewald, Brita Pekarsky	189
Mark R Nelson, John J McNeil, Anna Peeters, Christopher M Reid, Henry Krum	190
MEDicine or MADness	
David C Currow	190
Roger W Hunt HIV among injecting drug users of Indo Chinese othnicity in Victoria	191
HIV among injecting drug users of Indo-Chinese ethnicity in Victoria Jane S Hocking, Peter G Higgs, Cathy M Keenan, Nick Crofts	
	191
Detecting and reducing hospital adverse events: outcomes of the Wimmera clinical risk management program	
Kathy M Brown, Humsha Naidoo, Arona E Offenberger	192
Alan M Wolff, Jo Bourke, Ian A Campbell, David W Leembruggen	192
SPHERE: A National Depression Project	173
Nicole Phillips, Michael J Oldmeadow, Natalie Krapivensky	193
Ian B Hickie, Tracey A Davenport, Elizabeth M Scott, Sharon L Naismith	194
EBM in action: Is laser treatment effective and safe for	
musculoskeletal pain?	
Roberta Chow	194
Chris B Del Mar, Paul P Glasziou	195
No obituary in "Death and Dying" issue	
Paul B Harris	195
Martin B Van Der Weyden	195
Books as carriers of disease	
Sir Keith Jones	196
O BITUARY	
Christopher Allen Silagy	185
Correction	
"Impairment bible" [book review of Guides to the evaluation of	
permanent impairment] (Med J Aust 2002; 176: 82)	190
(Med J Aust 2002, 170. 82)	190
BOOK REVIEWS	
Natural medicine comprehensive database. Third edition,	
reviewed by Anna K Drew	179
Health science research. A handbook of qualitative methods,	
reviewed by Elmer V S Villanueva	179
Desktop guide to complementary and alternative medicine: An evidence-based approach,	
reviewed by Marc Cohen	185
IN THIS ISSUE	144
IN OTHER JOURNALS	187
COVER: Courtesy Medical Photography, Southern Health, Clayton, VIC.	

MJA Vol 176 18 February 2002 141