

Appendix

This appendix was part of the submitted manuscript and has been peer reviewed. It is posted as supplied by the authors.

Appendix to: Heal C, Sriharan S, Buttner PG, Kimber D. Comparing non-sterile with sterile gloves for minor surgery: a prospective randomised controlled non-inferiority trial. *Med J Aust* 2015; 202: 27-31. doi: 10.5694/mja14.00314.

Appendix 1 Studies investigating the use of sterile versus non-sterile gloves

| Author, | Setting, | Study design, | Non-sterile | Sterile | P value |
|-----------|--------------|----------------|----------------|-------------|--------------|
| year, | procedure | Sample size | infection rate | gloves | |
| country | type | | | infection | |
| | | | | rate | |
| Perelman | Emergency | Prospective | 17/384 | 23/396 | p=0.295 |
| 2004(1); | department, | randomized | (4.4%) (95%- | (6.1%) | |
| Canada | repair of | controlled | CI 2.4-6.4) | (95%-CI | |
| | simple | trial, 816 | | 3.5-8.1%) | |
| | lacerations | patients | | | |
| Chiu | Outpatient | Prospective, | 15/134 | 12/136 | p=0.31 |
| 2006(2); | clinic, | randomized | (10.9%) | (8.4%). | |
| Hong | Wisdom | controlled | | | |
| Kong | tooth | trial, 275 | | | |
| | extraction | patients | | | |
| Rhinehart | Outpatient | Retrospective | 14/766(1.8%) | 11/634 | p>0.5 |
| 2006(3); | clinic, Mohs | cohort, 1810 | | (1.7%) | |
| Germany | micrographic | patients, 2084 | | | |
| | surgery | procedures | | | |
| Rogues | Hospital | Retrospective | 1.7% for | 1.6% for | p<0.001 for |
| A.M. | inpatients | audit, 3491 | simple | simple | reconstructi |
| 2007(4); | and | procedures | excisions | excisions. | ve |
| France | outpatients | | 14.7% for | 3.4% for | procedures |
| | Private | | reconstructive | reconstruct | |
| | dermatology | | procedures | ive | |
| | procedures | | Actual | procedures | |
| | | | numbers not | | |
| | | | given | | |
| Xia | Outpatient | Pilot | 1/30 (3.3%) | 2/30(6.6%) | p=0.99 |
| 2011(5); | clinic,Mohs | Prospective | | | |
| USA | micrographic | randomised | | | |
| | surgery | controlled | | | |
| | | trial, 60 | | | |
| | | patients | | | |

References

.

1. Perelman VS, Francis GJ, Rutledge T, et al. Sterile versus nonsterile gloves for repair of uncomplicated lacerations in the emergency department. *Ann Emerg Med* 2004; 43(3): 362-370

- 2. Chiu WK, Cheung LK, Chan HC, et al. A comparison of post-operative complications following wisdom tooth surgery performed with sterile or clean gloves. *Int J Oral Maxillofac Surg 2006*; 35(2) 174-9
- 3. Rogues AM, Lasheras A, Amici JM, et al. Infection control practices and infectious complications in dermatological surgery. *J Hosp Infect* 2007; **65**(3)258-63
- 4. Rhinehart MB, Murphy MM, Farley MF, et al. Sterile versus nonsterile gloves during Mohs micrographic surgery: infection rate is not affected. *Dermatol Surg* 2006; **32**(2) 170-6
- 5. XiaY, Cho S, Greenway HT, et al. Infection rates of wound repairs during Mohs micrographic surgery using sterile versus nonsterile gloves: a prospective randomized pilot study. *Dermatol Surg* 2011; 37(5): 651-6